CANUCK LAW
Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

JANUARY 14, 2021 BY RONNIE

European Environmental Agency Relies On UNIPCC For Its Climate Change Data



The following exchange came from a reader who has had dealings with the EEA, or European Environmental Agency. In short, the EEA doesn't prove climate change is real. Instead, it relies on the United Nations to provide such data.

1. Email Exchange Between Researcher And EEA

Gmail [redacted]

RE: [EEA-200206.0001] Compliance to public questions of an environmental European engineer

[redacted] <info@eea.europa.eu> Mon, Mar 16, 2020 at 4:25 PM
To: [redacted]

Dear Sir,

The European Environment Agency did not scientifically prove how anthropogenic CO2eq. is creating climate change. Nevertheless, within our reports, we do refer to the scientific knowledge on climate change that does so. We would suggest that you consult, for example, the climate change-related information in our latest State and Outlook on the environment (SOER 2020) and the references therein. We believe chapters 1 and 7 would be most useful to you.

With kind regards,

Decision of the European Ombudsman concerning complaint 2107/2020/EIS about the way in which the European Environmental Agency (EEA) collects and analyses environmental data

Dear Mr [redacted]

On 1 December 2020, you submitted a complaint to the European Ombudsman against the European Environmental Agency (EEA). Your complaint concerns the way in which the EEA collects and analyses environmental data. You claim that the EEA relies on inaccurate scientific data on climate change collected by other international scientific bodies.

Under the rules[1] governing the work of the Ombudsman, it is a pre-condition for opening an inquiry into a complaint that the Ombudsman finds *grounds* for an inquiry[2].

The EEA is "*responsible for the collection, processing and analysis of data*" on the state of the environment "*to provide the Community and the Member States with the objective information necessary for framing and implementing sound and effective environmental policies*"[3]. The EEA enjoys a large autonomy in the way in which it carries out its research. It is not the role of the Ombudsman to re-examine the technical and scientific assessments made by the EEA. The

Ombudsman's role is limited to making sure that the EEA gives a coherent and reasonable account of how it carries out its role.

Based on the information you have submitted, we do not find any substantive evidence as to suggest that the EEA committed any manifest errors in this regard. The explanations provided by the EEA in its e-mails to you are reasonable and coherent.

On this basis, I regret to inform you that there are not sufficient grounds to open an inquiry into your complaint[4].

We have therefore closed the case.

Yours sincerely,

Gmail [redacted]

RE: [EEA-200206.0001] Compliance to public questions of an environmental European engineer

[redacted] Tue, Mar 17, 2020 at 3:15 PM
To: [redacted]<info@eea.europa.eu>

Dear [redacted]

I don't understand.

If a paragovernative institute come with any theoretical claim about climate effects and needed policies...

Who evaluates the validity of such claims?

Also, if you did not validate the scientific method beyond the processes claimed by such institutes, how can you assess anything (policies) in regard?

How can you assess who is gonna benefit and who is gonna be damaged?

How can you assess the effectiveness of the money spent/allocated?

If is not the duty of the European Environment Agency, what is the sense of having the EEA?

Looking forward to hear from you.
Please also note that I do not buy your negligence in taking compliance of such anthropogenic effect of climate change. Therefore I am waiting still to be put in contact with your engineers and system modellers.

Gmail [redacted]

RE: [EEA-200206.0001] Compliance to public questions of an environmental European engineer

[redacted] Tue, Mar 24, 2020 at 2:32 PM
To: [redacted]<info@eea.europa.eu>

Dear [redacted]

From your answer I understand that EEA is neglecting taking position regarding the scientific assessment of the IPCC protocol of UN.
I do wonder at this point which European Authority should have the technical capabilities and knowledge to evaluate such imposed policies.

How is it possible that the EU commission married these policies without you even debunking/validating their lying hypothesis?
And if this did occur, is the organization that performed such validation within the institutional context of the European Union?

I went through the IPCC paper already before contacting you. These papers are political, rather than scientific. This is the reason why I contacted you indeed.

Kind regards,
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

Gmail [redacted]

RE: [EEA-200206.0001] Compliance to public questions of an environmental European engineer

[redacted]info@eea.europa.eu> Mon, Mar 30, 2020 at 12:45 PM
To [redacted]

Dear M [redacted]

Thank you for your message.

We have provided you with all the information relevant to your questions to the best of our knowledge and ability. Even though we remain available to reply to any other environmental-related questions, note that there is nothing further we can add on this issue. We therefore ask for your understanding that there can be no further correspondence on it.

With kind regards,
EEA Enquiry Service



Gmail [redacted]

RE: [EEA-200206.0001] Compliance to public questions of an environmental European engineer

[redacted] info@eea.europa.eu> Mon, Mar 23, 2020 at 4:20 PM
To: [redacted]

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your message.

The EEA has a scientific committee that assists the EEA management board and the Executive Director in providing scientific advice and delivering professional opinion on any scientific matter in the areas of work undertaken by the Agency. More here: https://www.eea.europa.eu/about-us/governance/eea-evaluations

The EEA's work is evaluated every 5 years to ensure the Agency is working in an effective and efficient way. More here: https://www.eea.europa.eu/about-us/governance/eea-evaluations

For comprehensive information about climate change, we suggest that you consult reports from the the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), which is the United Nations body for assessing the science related to climate change: https://www.ipcc.ch/

An interesting discovery. The EEA doesn't actually do anything to prove that climate change exists as its advertised. Instead, it relies on the UN to ***TELL THEM*** that it happens.

2. Debunking The Climate Change Scam

The entire climate change industry, (and yes, it is an industry) is a hoax perpetrated by the people in power, run by international bankers. Plenty has also been covered on the climate scam, the propaganda machine in action, and some of the court documents in Canada. Carbon taxes are just a small part of the picture, and conservatives are intentionally sabotaging their court cases.

CLIMATE CHANGE SCAM, EUROPE, GLOBALISM, ITALY, UN/WHO, WORLD